



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,211	06/26/2006	Josef Rapp	RUF-2.002.PCT.US	2951
22874	7590	04/27/2009		
GANZ LAW, P.C. P O BOX 2200 HILLSBORO, OR 97123			EXAMINER KASTLER, SCOTT R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/560,211	Applicant(s) RAPP, JOSEF	
	Examiner Scott Kastler	Art Unit 1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott Kastler. (3) ____.

(2) Ms Coeckx. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: All applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was proposed that instant claim 11 be amended to more clearly reflect that the crucible cover and the cover flange are different parts in the apparatus and that the cover flange is removable from the crucible cover, however such an amendment would raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search and would not be entered at this point (after final rejection) as this amendment would require at least a new rejection to show this feature.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Scott Kastler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793	
--	--